## QUANTUM COLORING

## Frustrated Magnetism

$$
H_{x y}=\sum_{\langle i, j\rangle} S_{i}^{x} S_{j}^{x}+S_{i}^{y} S_{j}^{y}
$$

An old subject.
On the ground state properties of the anisotropic triangular antiferromagnet
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I'm going to tell you about an interesting analytically solvable point (on a special Hamiltonian in triangular, Shastry-Sutherland, kagome, etc.) that could (should?) have been been discovered 20 years ago.
and tell you that this interesting point uncovers supports extensive degeneracy in kagome (a many body flat band)
which might help us understand exotica in kagome.

After many years, we know that certain spin systems support spin liquid phases....


Z2 spin liquid heisenberg (White/Huse); xy (..)
Chiral spin liquid: $2 / 3$ plateau (this work)

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { I/3 plateau (Donna Sheng) } \\
& S_{z=0}=\text { chiral (Bela Bauer, Andreas Ludwig) } \\
& \mathrm{Sz}=0 \mathrm{~J} 1, \sqrt{2}, \mathrm{~J} 3 \text { (Donna Sheng) }
\end{aligned}
$$

and that some systems do not support spin liquid phases...


shastry-sutherland $J_{2}=2 J_{1}$ dimer solid

A common story is that from triangles come frustration....


$$
H=J_{z} \sum_{i j} S_{i}^{z} S_{j}^{z}
$$

When you paste together many triangles, there are many degenerate states


And this degeneracy gets resolved into a spin liquid.
But that doesn't really tell us why triangles are boring and kagome is interesting.
We could wave our hands and talk about amount of frustration but would like something more compelling.

One difference, is that kagome has flat bands for one particle. Maybe this is a clue?
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$$
\mathcal{H}_{t}=-t \sum_{\langle i j\rangle}\left(c_{i}^{\dagger} c_{j}+\text { H.c. }\right),
$$

We've talked about frustration. Let's talk about the opposite.
For a single triangle at the $X Y$ point, we can relieve frustration.


$$
H=J_{x y} \sum_{i j} S_{i}^{x} S_{j}^{x}+S_{i}^{y} S_{j}^{y}
$$

This is the exact ground state for $(\mathrm{Sz}=\mathrm{I} / 2)$ and everyone is happy

## Relieving Frustration



$$
H=J_{x y} \sum_{i j} S_{i}^{x} S_{j}^{x}+S_{i}^{y} S_{j}^{y}
$$

ground state for $S_{z}=1 / 2$
$(|0\rangle+|1\rangle) \otimes(|0\rangle+\omega|1\rangle) \otimes\left(|0\rangle+\omega^{2}|1\rangle\right)$
$\frac{|000\rangle-|111\rangle}{|12\rangle}+|100\rangle+\omega|010\rangle+\omega^{2}|001\rangle+\ldots$

## By projection

This is a high-energy eigenstate but projection removed it for us.

Define
3 "colors"

$$
\begin{aligned}
& |a\rangle=\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(|\uparrow\rangle+|\downarrow\rangle) \\
& |b\rangle=\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(|\uparrow\rangle+\omega|\downarrow\rangle) \\
& |c\rangle=\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(|\uparrow\rangle+\omega^{2}|\downarrow\rangle\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

## Not Relieving Frustration

$$
H=J_{x y} \sum_{i j} S_{i}^{x} S_{j}^{x}+S_{i}^{y} S_{j}^{y}
$$

not ground state (for most Sz)
Why not?
Simple answer I: This product state gives some triangle all spin downs. That costs energy!

The projection doesn't remove 000 on all triangles

## A side note...

This is morally the ground state for the $X Y$ model on the triangular lattice but it's not obvious why. Our answer must be too simple.


$$
H=J_{x y} \sum_{i j} S_{i}^{x} S_{j}^{x}+S_{i}^{y} S_{j}^{y}
$$

not ground state (for any Sz we've looked at)
Why not?
Simple answer I: This product state gives some triangle all spin downs. That costs energy!

The projection doesn't remove 000 on all triangles

Simple answer 2: Right state, wrong Hamiltonian

$$
H=\sum_{i j} S_{i}^{x} S_{j}^{x}+S_{i}^{y} S_{j}^{y}-0.5 \sum_{i j} S_{i}^{z} S_{j}^{z}
$$

massive exact degeneracy!



$$
H=\sum_{i j} S_{i}^{x} S_{j}^{x}+S_{i}^{y} S_{j}^{y}-0.5 \sum_{i j} S_{i}^{z} S_{j}^{z}
$$



$$
\longrightarrow \quad E=9 J / 8
$$

$$
H=\sum_{i j} S_{i}^{x} S_{j}^{x}+S_{i}^{y} S_{j}^{y}-0.5 \sum_{i j} S_{i}^{z} S_{j}^{z}
$$



$$
— — E=9 J / 8
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
|1\rangle & \equiv|\uparrow \uparrow \uparrow\rangle \\
|2\rangle & \equiv \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}}\left(|\uparrow \uparrow \downarrow\rangle+\omega|\uparrow \downarrow \uparrow\rangle+\omega^{2}|\downarrow \uparrow \uparrow\rangle\right) \\
|3\rangle & \equiv \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}}\left(|\uparrow \uparrow \downarrow\rangle+\omega^{2}|\uparrow \downarrow \uparrow\rangle+\omega|\downarrow \uparrow \uparrow\rangle\right) \\
|4\rangle & \equiv \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}}\left(|\downarrow \downarrow \uparrow\rangle+\omega|\downarrow \uparrow \downarrow\rangle+\omega^{2}|\uparrow \downarrow \downarrow\rangle\right) \\
|5\rangle & \left.\equiv \frac{1}{\sqrt{5}}\left(|\downarrow \downarrow \uparrow\rangle+\omega^{2}|\downarrow \uparrow \downarrow\rangle+\omega|\uparrow \downarrow\rangle\right\rangle\right) \\
|6\rangle & \equiv|\downarrow \downarrow \downarrow\rangle
\end{aligned}
$$

Who ordered that?

$$
H=\sum_{i j} S_{i}^{x} S_{j}^{x}+S_{i}^{y} S_{j}^{y}-0.5 \sum_{i j} S_{i}^{z} S_{j}^{z}
$$



$$
E=9 J / 8
$$

$$
\underbrace{H_{\text {ti }}=-\frac{3 J}{8} \sum_{i=1}^{6}|i\rangle\langle i|+\frac{9 J}{8}(|+\rangle\langle+|+|-\rangle\langle-|)}
$$

$$
-\frac{3 J}{8}(1-|+\rangle\langle+|-|-\rangle\langle-|)
$$

$$
-\frac{3 J}{8}+\frac{3 J}{2}(|+\rangle\langle+|+|-\rangle\langle-|)
$$

## Projectors

We want to minimize the energy by zeroing out the projectors

## Frustration Free!

## Many Triangles

$$
\begin{gathered}
H=\sum_{\text {tri }} H_{\text {tri }}=\frac{3}{2} \sum_{\text {tri }} P_{\text {tri }}-\frac{3}{8} N_{\text {tri }} \\
P_{\text {tri }} \equiv|+\rangle\langle+|+|-\rangle\langle-|
\end{gathered}
$$

NOTE: projectors on triangles DO NOT commute with each other!!!

So an arbitrary eigenstate on one triangle need not be COMPATIBLE with other triangles

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
H=\sum_{\text {tri }} H_{\text {tri }}=\frac{3}{2} \sum_{\text {tri }} P_{\text {tri }}-\frac{3}{8} N_{\text {tri }} & |a\rangle=\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(|\uparrow\rangle+|\downarrow\rangle) \\
|b\rangle=\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(|\uparrow\rangle+\omega|\downarrow\rangle) \\
P_{\text {tri }} \equiv|+\rangle\langle+|+|-\rangle\langle-| & |c\rangle=\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(|\uparrow\rangle+\omega^{2}|\downarrow\rangle\right)
\end{array}
$$

We want projector to annihilate our proposed solution

$$
|\psi\rangle \equiv \prod_{s} \otimes\left|C_{s}\right\rangle_{s}
$$

As long as we have ONLY one color per triangle the tensor product of all colors is an EXACT eigenstate



An exponential number of colorings! $1.208^{N}$ (from Baxter) $|\psi\rangle \equiv \prod_{\|}\left|C_{\rangle}\right\rangle$,

Only one (or two) colorings.


$$
\begin{aligned}
& |a\rangle=\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(|\uparrow\rangle+|\downarrow\rangle) \\
& |b\rangle=\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(|\uparrow\rangle+\omega|\downarrow\rangle) \\
& |c\rangle=\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(|\uparrow\rangle+\omega^{2}|\downarrow\rangle\right)
\end{aligned}
$$



An exponential number of colorings!

$$
|\psi\rangle \equiv \prod \otimes\left|C_{s}\right\rangle_{s}
$$

But this mixes Sz sectors, (particle number in boson language)

## Eigenstates in a fixed Sz sector

| Lattice | Ising configs | Colorings |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $2 \times 2 \times 3$ | 924 | 8 |
| $3 \times 2 \times 3$ | 48620 | 16 |
| $4 \times 2 \times 3$ | 2.7 million | 32 |



$$
|\psi\rangle \equiv \prod_{s} \otimes\left|C_{s}\right\rangle_{s}
$$

But this mixes Sz sectors, (particle number in boson language)


Project to definite sector

$$
\left|\psi^{C}\right\rangle \equiv P_{S_{z}}\left(\prod_{\mathrm{valid}} \otimes\left|C_{s}\right\rangle\right)
$$

These are all still eigenstates!

Modes may be linearly dependent. Their rank may be less then the number of colorings.
Additional Subtelty: These are not always all the eigenstates.

The "one-boson" particle number sector reproduces the known flat band.


How should we move away from this special point?

$$
\begin{aligned}
& H=H^{*}+x H^{\prime} \quad H^{\prime} \equiv \sum_{\langle i, j\rangle} S_{i}^{z} S_{j}^{z} \\
& x \equiv\left(J_{z}+1 / 2\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

36d at $1 / 6$ filling - GS Fidelity vs Jz for various references


How should we move away from this special point?

$$
\begin{aligned}
& H=H^{*}+x H^{\prime} \quad H^{\prime} \equiv \sum_{\langle i, j\rangle} S_{i}^{z} S_{j}^{z} \\
& x \equiv\left(J_{z}+1 / 2\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

What state survives from the degenerate manifold?
Subtle point: Not sure its gapped. Ignore that and march forward anyway...


At I/6 filling, all states have $0, \omega, \omega^{2}$ phases
All non-zero amplitudes the same but the chiral state.


How should we move away from this special point?

$$
H=H^{*}+x H^{\prime} \quad H^{\prime} \equiv \sum_{\langle i, j\rangle} S_{i}^{z} S_{j}^{z}
$$

$x \equiv\left(J_{z}+1 / 2\right)$

What state survives from the degenerate manifold?


At I/2 filling, all states have +/- phase and zero or the same amplitude.

Conclusions

$$
H=\sum_{i j} S_{i}^{x} S_{j}^{x}+S_{i}^{y} S_{j}^{y}-0.5 \sum_{i j} S_{i}^{z} S_{j}^{z}
$$

Paste together triangles

Three color it so each triangle has one red, one blue, one green
Project this into a Sz sector
This is a ground state.

On kagome this is extensively degenerate


